



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| 08/779,767 | 01/07/97 | ZAGHOUANI | H ALLIA 143A |

NED A ISRAELSON
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON AND BEAR
16TH FLOOR
620 NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE
NEWPORT BEACH CA 92660

HM21/0429

EXAMINER

REEVES, J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1642

9

DATE MAILED: 04/29/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

081779,767



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
 Patent and Trademark Office
 Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
 Washington, D.C. 20231

| | | | |
|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | ATTORNEY DOCKETT NO. |
|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|----------------------|

EXAMINER

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

9

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Julie Reeves (PTO) (3) Dr. Kathrine Woods
 (2) Chris Dayton (4) _____

Date of interview 22 April 98

Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description: _____Agreement was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. was not reached.

Claims discussed: 1 + 22.

Identification of prior art discussed: All Six prior art references for 103

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant

went over prior art with regards to proposed claim amends.
 Examiner suggest claim clarifying language.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Julie Reeves, PTO
 Examiner's Signature